

Q162_2_TEXT

Currently, as a supervisor/manager, what additional resources do you most need to effectively respond to scientific integrity concerns? Please choose your top 2. - Other, please specify -
Text

1 Administration that takes it seriously.

2 I have the resources I need

3 So far, I have had the resources needed to address SI issues raised.

4 Resources, tools

5 (b) (5)

s (b) (5)

science felt under attack

6 none

7 An administration that is guided by science and the law

8 i don't know, we all know that scientific integrity was a challenge in the last administration, we raised issues, but senior managers felt they did not have teeth or laws weren't broken, yet they could not hold people to "intention."

9 Ability of staff to make recommendations on the record. If political leadership makes a decision-it is helpful to have staff input formally documented.

10 Better process that does not simply let political leadership waive it off. Whistleblower protection doesn't even seem to work these days.

11 No other resources needed. We take every comment/concern about scientific integrity seriously and immediately move it forward.

12 Less fear of retaliation

13 More frequent reminders about specific policies promoting transparency and the ability of employees to raise public safety concerns to management without fear of repercussion.

14 reliable laptops/computers

15 I think there was some fear about how to handle these incidents during the previous administration

16 Acceptance by staff of the difference between science integrity issues and differing scientific opinions

17 Training so staff understand the difference between science and policy

18 timely adherence to review processes